Case 1:15-cv-08725-GBD-RWL Document 256-42 Filed 09/13/19 Page 1 of 9

```
Page 1
 1
                   DAVID MEEKER
 2
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
        FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 4
 5
     UMB BANK, N.A., as Trustee,
 6
 7
            Plaintiff
                                       ) No. 15 Civ. 08724 (GBD)
 8
    VS.
 9
    SANOFI,
10
            Defendant
11
12
13
                  HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
             DEPOSITION OF DAVID MEEKER
14
15
                THURSDAY, JULY 26, 2018
16
               WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
17
                   100 FEDERAL STREET
18
                BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
19
20
21
22
23
24
    Reported by: Sandra A. Deschaine, CSR, RPR, CLR, CRA
25
     Job No: 145263
```

		Page	10
1	DAVID MEEKER		
2	litigation to involve?		
3	A. That the CVR holders are		
4	interrogating or questioning the level of		
5	diligence that was applied to executing on		
6	the terms of the CVR.		
7	Q. Thank you.		
8	Do you know what the CVR agreement		
9	is?		
10	A. I understand, I believe, the terms		
11	of the CVR.		
12	Q. Okay. Have you ever seen the CVR		
13	agreement?		
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q. Under what circumstances, other		
16	than as provided to you by counsel?		
17	A. So so I will back up and say I		
18	am unsure if I have seen the CVR in its		
19	entirety, as it was presented to me		
20	yesterday. What was summarized for me on		
21	more than one occasion were the milestones		
22	and the terms of the CVR.		
23	Q. To the best of your recollections,		
24	the summarization of milestones and the terms		
25	of the CVR, was that communicated to you		

		Page 11
1	DAVID MEEKER	
2	verbally or in writing?	
3	MR. AMSEL: Separate and apart	
4	from anything you might have learned	
5	from discussions with counsel in	
6	preparation for the deposition.	
7	MR. WEISS: Withdraw the question.	
8	I'll rephrase it.	
9	BY MR. WEISS:	
10	Q. Other than the presentation of the	
11	full agreement to you by counsel, previously,	
12	as part, presumably, of your deposition prep,	
13	in what form were the terms and	
14	summarizations of the milestones of the CVR	
15	agreement presented to you?	
16	A. So verbally, for sure. So I do	
17	not have a distinct memory of a presentation	
18	with the terms of the CVR laid out.	
19	Q. And to the best of your	
20	recollection, how many times were you	
21	informed, verbally, of the terms and	
22	milestones set forth in the CVR agreement?	
23	A. So I was I was never, to the	
24	best of my recollection, informed, other than	
25	in response to my request to understand or be	

		Page 12
1	DAVID MEEKER	
2	reminded of the terms of that CVR.	
3	Q. So, in other words, your testimony	
4	is that no one ever actually actively came	
5	and informed you of the terms of the CVR	
6	agreement?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. And you said that you received	
9	this information in response to a	
10	self-generated request. What were the	
11	circumstances of those requests,	
12	individually, if you don't mind?	
13	A. So there was the one specific	
14	occasion that I recall was trying to	
15	understand if our budget was still meeting	
16	the terms of the CVR and wanting to be	
17	reminded as to what those terms and	
18	milestones were.	
19	Q. And to whom did you direct this	
20	inquiry?	
21	A. So that was to the CFO, who was	
22	working Marc Esteva, at that time.	
23	Q. And when did this happen?	
24	A. So I don't, to be honest, remember	
25	exactly when this happened. So it would have	

		Page	43
1	DAVID MEEKER		
2	Q. And Aubagio, you didn't generate		
3	an Aubagio cash P&L, correct?		
4	A. Correct.		
5	Q. And part of the Lemtrada cash P&L		
6	specifically called out both the Bayer		
7	royalty and the CVR payments, correct?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. Why was that created?		
10	A. That was created because of the		
11	complexity. So we had an understanding of		
12	the profitability of Aubagio. We had an		
13	understanding of the profitability of		
14	Cerezyme. We had an understanding of		
15	profitability of Fabrazyme, etc. Lemtrada		
16	was the only product that had that level of		
17	complexity around the flow of cash that, in		
18	my opinion, required a separate exercise to		
19	really understand.		
20	Q. To understand the profitability of		
21	the product?		
22	A. Exactly.		
23	Q. And when you said you thought, was		
24	the cash P&L something that was created at		
25	your instruction?		

Page 92 1 DAVID MEEKER indication, correct? 2 3 MR. AMSEL: Objection to the form. MR. WEISS: I'll withdraw the 5 question. That's fine. BY MR. WEISS: 6 7 If you look over, it says "Risks" Phase III data materially unfavorable versus 8 9 Phase II data; yes? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And what is being referred to 12 there? 13 Α. Phase III had not read out yet and, clearly, we were basing our optimism on 14 a Phase II smaller trial. 15 And one of the important data 16 points that came out of the Phase II trials 17 18 for Lemtrada, was that Lemtrada, in that cohort of patients, slowed the accumulation 19 20 of disability in patients, correct? 21 Α. Yes. And that is referred to sometimes 22 Ο. 23 as an improvement in disability? 24 Α. It can accommodate, in that 25 definition, an improvement.

Page 115 1 DAVID MEEKER 2 to get the outcome they deserve. 3 Real science for real people to 0. improve the clinical outcome? 5 Α. Your words. I agree. 6 But they're correct, right? 0. That's a good philosophy on how to sell a 7 8 drug? 9 That is my philosophy. Α. 10 Right. And you were the CEO of Q. 11 Genzyme from 2011 to 2017? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Ο. The last sentence states, "The last comment relates to Aubagio and the 14 15 challenge of insuring we do not limit potential use in earlier stage patients, as 16 17 we try to create room through our messaging 18 for Aubagio." (as read) 19 What are you being -- what are you 20 referring to there? 21 I did not want the fact that we 22 had two products in the marketplace, to say 23 you use this product for the early stage. You use Lemtrada for the late stage. 24 25 Q. Right. And this refers for very



